The Mid-Atlantic Bight Cold Pool, Part-1: Glider Observations

W. Brown
School for Marine Science and Technology
University of Massachusetts Dartmouth
706 S Rodney French Blvd.
New Bedford, MA 02744

O. Schofield, S. Glenn, & J. Kohut
Coastal Ocean Observation Lab
Rutgers, State University of New Jersey
71 Dudley Rd.
New Brunswick, NJ 08901

W. Boicourt
Center for Environmental Science
University of Maryland
PO Box 775
Cambridge, MD 21613

Abstract

During summer, distinctive, bottom-trapped, cold water mass of remnant local and remote winter water called Cold Pool Water (CPW) resides as a swath over the mid to outer continental shelf throughout much of the Middle Atlantic Bight (MAB). This evolving CPW is important because it strongly influences the ecosystem, including several important fisheries. Thus, there is a priority to better understand the relevant ocean processes and develop CPW forecast capability. Over the past decade, repeated high-resolution Slocum glider measurements of ocean water properties along a New Jersey cross-shelf transect have helped to define the variability of the CPW structure off New Jersey. More recently the Mid-Atlantic Regional Association Coastal Ocean Observing System-supported ocean gliders have occupied a series of along-shelf zigzag trajectories from Massachusetts to New Jersey and New Jersey to Maryland. The comprehensive set of March through November 2007 glider measurements has been used to define the annual evolution of the 10°C Cold Pool in terms of its distribution and water properties. Here we highlight July through October 2007 general warming and salting of the Cold Pool at rates of approximately 1°C per month and 0.06 psu per month. The nominal 100m lateral resolution of the glider measurements enabled us to define the footprint of the 10°C Cold Pool. Assuming a 30m upper layer depth, we estimated Cold Pool volumes varying from 4099 km³ in summer 2007 to 2391 km³ in autumn 2007. The deployment three-glider fleet view of the September 2013 gave a different view of the MAB Cold Pool during a time it was strongly influenced by the impingement of a Gulf Stream warm core ring. The warm-core-ring salting and warming effects prompted us to stretch our definition of Cold Pool TS properties to include those effects. We found that, whereas the autumn 10°C Cold Pool volume was 1138 km³, the autumn 12°C Cold Pool volume was 4093 km³.
I. Introduction

The Cold Pool is a distinctive, highly-variable, bottom-trapped, cold water mass remnant local and remote winter water found during summer over the mid and outer continental shelf between Cape Cod and Cape Hatteras – a region known as the Middle Atlantic Bight (MAB) (Figure 1). The Cold Pool is an important element in the MAB habitat according to Malone et al. (1983) and Flagg et al. (1994), who have shown that it affects phytoplankton productivity; and Sullivan et al. (2005) and Weinberg (2005) who have shown that it affects the behavior and recruitment of pelagic and demersal fish on the shelf.

The distribution and characteristics of this Cold Pool water mass evolves significantly during its May through October lifetime (Ketchum and Corwin, 1964; Boicourt and Hacker, 1976; Beardsley et al., 1976; Beardsley and Boicourt, 1981). Lentz et al. (2003) present the annual evolution of a MAB-averaged cross-shelf section of the climatological temperature. This sequence shows that well-mixed winter shelf waters cool between January and March. The May section shows how the vernal onset of temperature- and fresh water-induced stratification creates a bottom-trapped, Cold Pool water mass with minimum temperatures dependent on the severity of the previous winter’s local cooling.

Once formed, this distinctive Cold Pool goes through a complex evolution during the rest of the spring and throughout the summer. For example, during May-June the northeastern MAB Cold Pool gets colder due to continued inflow of winter water from the Gulf of Maine/Georges Bank (GoM/GB) region (Brown and Irish, 1993; Hopkins and Garfield, 1979; Ramp et al., 1988). The Ou and Houghton (1982) present an contour map of the NMFS May 1979 bottom temperatures (Figure 1) which has a patch of the coldest waters (or “cold patch”) within the Cold Pool. They also note that locations of the “cold patch” during the summer 1979 is consistent with the well-documented general 5 cm/s (~ 5 km/day) southwestward along-shelf MAB flow. Lentz’s (2017) analysis of more than 50,000 hydrographic profile minimum temperatures 1955-2014 climatology suggests that the “cold patch” is formed locally.

During the summer, the Cold Pool Water mass (CPW) is warmed by turbulent processes acting on its surface (Chen et al. 2014) and along its inshore boundary (Kohut et al., 2004). The CPW is also heated and salted by a complex array of turbulent processes along its offshore boundary which is the shelf break front (SBF) (Houghton et al., 1982; Lentz et al., 2003; 2010). Candidate

---

**Figure 1** (Left) Distribution of the May 6-26, 1979 Cold Pool bottom temperatures in the Mid-Atlantic Bight. (Ou and Houghton, 1982; based on NMFS MARMAP data). (Right) A 1955-2014 climatological July cross-section of the Cold Pool (located south of New England by the red bar in left figure) with indicated turbulent exchanges with the upper layer and slope sea seaward of the shelf-break front (after Lentz, 2017).
across-SBF exchange processes result in episodic warm/salty intrusions into CPW by way of the interior and bottom boundary layers (Linder et al., 1994). Interactions between Gulf Stream Warm Core Rings (WCR) and the SBF undoubtedly contribute to such exchanges.

The cooling of northeast MAB Cold Pool waters ceases in July, which is followed by a general warming of all the still distinct Cold Pool through September. Then during autumn, the inevitable energetic autumn storms mix the MAB water column well enough to erase the distinctiveness the Cold Pool by November, when and the following year’s annual Cold Pool evolution cycle begins anew.

The mysteries of the Cold Pool and its importance to the MAB ecosystem prompt us to seek answers regarding these erosion processes. Such answers are now within our reach because of the newly-available technologies, including ocean gliders, remote high frequency radar-derived surface current mapping, modern data-assimilation coastal ocean numerical models, and the development of the Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS; Bassett et al., 2010) and the Ocean Observatory Initiative (OOI): Pioneer Array. This paper describes what we have learned about the MAB Cold Pool from primarily glider observations.

II. Measuring the Cold Pool

Historical Glider Data: Rutgers University researchers began deploying Teledyne/Webb Research (TWR) Slocum ocean gliders on the Mid-Atlantic Bight shelf in 2003 (Schofield et al., 2010). This earlier effort evolved into the effort of the Mid Atlantic Regional Association Coastal Ocean Observing System (MARACOOS) to deploy ongoing series of Slocum glider missions along a (a) cross-shelf transect off New Jersey called the Endurance Line; (b) cross-shelf series of zig-zags from Massachusetts to New Jersey called the Northern Line (Legs 1-6); and (c) cross-shelf series of zig-zags from New Jersey to Maryland called the Southern Line (Legs 6-10 – Figures 2). The 2007 suite of cross-shelf zig-zag glider slices are used to construct a “glider’s eye view” of the evolving 2007 Cold Pool. The following description of the different phases of the Cold Pool is based primarily on glider measurements.

Figure 2 (Above) MARACOOS glider trajectories for missions between 2003 through 2011 feature repeat cross-shelf transects (yellow), a set of zigzag transects along the outer-shelf (cyan) and along the inner-shelf (dark blue) (Schofield et al., 2010). (Below) Transects are defined for this paper in terms of the May and July 2007 glider trajectories. Three of the key transects (Legs-1, -6 and -8) are highlighted.
from the most extensive cross-shelf transects represented by Legs 1, 6, and 8, respectively (see Figure 2).

Our objective is to use the zig-zag glider slices to estimate the extent and properties of the MAB Cold Pool during each of the glider missions. A typical zig-zag run takes a typical 100m Slocum glider (at ~25 km/day) about 3-4 weeks to transit from Massachusetts to New Jersey. All the gliders considered here measured a standard suite of measurements including pressure (P), temperature (T), conductivity (C) (or derived salinity S), and an estimated glider inter-surfacing segment-averaged velocity (V). Some of the gliders also measured oxygen and the optical trio of chlorophyll-a fluorescence, colored dissolved organic matter, and optical backscatter.

Pre-Cold Pool - Late Winter/Early Spring: The pre-2007 Cold Pool Water (CPW) is documented by the 13 March - 12 April 2007 glider measurements whose statistics are summarized in Table A1 of Appendix A. Cross-self glider transects (not shown) exhibit the vertically well-mixed “winter water”. The cross-shelf Leg-1 south of Massachusetts exhibits the coldest transect minimum temperature, $T_{\text{min}} = 2.87^\circ\text{C}$ (with an associated salinity, $S_{\text{Tmin}}$, of 32.80 psu). The Leg-6 transect off New Jersey is considerably warmer with a $T_{\text{min}} = 4.94^\circ\text{C}$ and saltier with a $S_{\text{Tmin}} = 33.08$ psu.

Proto-Cold Pool – Mid-Spring: By the 26 April – 19 May 2007 glider RU06 survey, Leg-1 and Leg-6 transect $T_{\text{mins}}$ have warmed relative to March (see Figure 3). However, the vernal warming of the upper layer off New Jersey has only begun to isolate the 2007 Cold Pool. This early expression of the Cold Pool in Leg-6, with a $T_{\text{min}} = 6.65^\circ\text{C}$, (Figure 3) distinguishes it from the still homogeneous cold waters of Leg-1. The Leg-6 minus Leg-1 $T_{\text{min}}$ difference of 1.81°C is typical of long-shelf temperature gradients between these two sub-regions of the MAB.

Cold Pool 2007 – Late Spring: By the late May (23 May - 15 June 2007) glider RU17 survey (Figure 4), the upper layer south of New England (Leg-1) had warmed and freshened enough to distinguish it from the emerging Cold Pool in the lower layer. Thus, the Cold Pool Water was defined throughout the MAB for 2007. Note that despite this milestone, the $T_{\text{mins}}$ were colder than those in April; for Leg-1, 4.78°C versus 4.84°C; for Leg-6, 5.32°C versus 6.65°C (see Table 1). The much-reduced Leg-6 minus Leg-1 $T_{\text{min}}$ difference of 0.54°C, was evidence of the regional advection of a cold patch embedded in the northeastern MAB Cold Pool.
Cold Pool – Summer: By the 18 Jul – 4 Aug 2007 glider RU01 survey of the southwestern MAB (Figure 5/Table 1), the Cold Pool was well established. For example, a comparison of the mid-July Leg-6 (Figure 5) with the mid-June Leg-6 (Figure 4) shows significant warming of the upper layer and only a slight warming of the still very cold Cold Pool. The latter finding is consistent with the down-shelf translation of cold patch. The downward intrusions in the strong vertical stratification (in Figure 5-lower) appear to be a signature of a strong internal tide (though highly aliased by the gliders very slow speed). With reference to Table 1, the southwestern MAB (Legs 6 & 8) \( T_{\text{min}} \)-associated salinities are about 0.10 psu greater than those in the northeastern MAB (Legs 1 & 6). The salinity difference is the likely signature of intrusion(s) of higher salinity (and warmer) water across the SBF as the Cold Pool Water is advected by the SBF jet. What do the September glider measurements show?

Table 1. The 2007 section-minimum temperatures \( T_{\text{min}} \) and associated salinities \( S_{\text{min}} \) are presented for a representative set of sections. For sub-thermocline waters \( (T < 12^\circ \text{C}) \), the means and standard deviations of temperature departures \( (T_{\text{D}} = T - T_{\text{min}}) \) and associated salinity departures \( (S_{\text{D}} = S - S_{\text{min}}) \) are presented (see Appendix A for further discussion of this topic).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007 Survey Month</th>
<th>Leg</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>( T_{\text{min}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{D}} ) Mean (°C)</th>
<th>( T_{\text{D}} ) Std Dev (°C)</th>
<th>( S_{\text{min}} ) (psu)</th>
<th>( S_{\text{D}} ) Mean (psu)</th>
<th>( S_{\text{D}} ) Std Dev (psu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>4.780</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>32.739</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>5.319</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>33.006</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.050</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>32.873</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUL</td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>July 24</td>
<td>5.603</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>32.961</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-8</td>
<td>Aug 03</td>
<td>6.331</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>33.028</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.967</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>32.995</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUM. AVE.</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.509</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>32.934</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>Sep 30</td>
<td>7.711</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>33.006</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>Oct 13</td>
<td>8.478</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>32.967</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.095</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>32.987</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>Oct 10</td>
<td>8.588</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>33.057</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-8</td>
<td>Oct 25</td>
<td>9.902</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>33.201</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.245</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>33.129</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUT. AVE.</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.670</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>33.058</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eastern MAB COLD POOL 2007: Spring

Figure 4 The 23 May - 15 June 2007 glider RU17 (upper) Leg-1 temperature section, with section-minimum temperatures indicated, and (lower) the same for Leg-6, with temperature (°C) legends to the right.
Cold Pool – Autumn: By the 5-26 Oct 2007 glider RU06 survey of the southwestern MAB (Figure 6/Table 1), like the northeastern MAB (Figure 7/Table 1), there is still a distinct Cold Pool and it was warmer than during summer in both regions. However, the upper layer(s) cooled and continued to cool. Conversely the Cold Pool continued to warm through October and into November (Table A1).

“Cold Pool”-Winter: By the 28 November-19 December 2007 glider RU01 survey of the northeastern MAB (Figure 8/Table 1), the distinctiveness of the 2007 Cold Pool was totally erased; presumably by the seasonal storm-generated mixing. This mixing-induced homogenization of the water column led to a Leg-1 Tmin of about 10°C near the surface of the inner shelf. Off New Jersey (Leg-6), winter cooling has begun inshore. These glider measurements captured the beginning of the winter 2007-08 cooling phase of the MAB waters.

III. Cold Pool Water Mass Analysis
This March through November 2007 series of zig-zag glider surveys represents the most complete set of hydrographic measurements of a clearly evolving MAB Cold Pool to date. We now analyze this high-resolution data set in terms of Cold Pool 2007 water mass properties and their evolution.

We start by noting that successive glider transect T_{min}/S_{T_{min}} define the of the location of the thermal core of the Cold Pool. The loci of T_{min}/S_{T_{min}} in Figures 9 show that, throughout the MAB, the Cold Pool core is near, if not in the shelf-break front (SBF) in both summer and autumn 2007. Thus, the Cold Pool is an important part of the hydrography that geostrophically-supports the SBF jet; which is one of the fastest long-shelf flows in the MAB. The fact that T_{min} is usually 10m to 20m above the bottom is consistent with the location of the SBF jet. The geographic
proximity to the SBF strongly suggests that the SBF jet advects some of the coldest water of the Cold Pool southwestward along the outer shelf.

**Eastern MAB COLD POOL 2007:**

*Autumn*

**COLD POOL 2007 Gone:**

*Winter*

---

**Figure 7** The 25 Sep - 17 Oct 2007 glider RU05 (upper) Leg-1 temperature section, with section-minimum temperatures indicated, and (lower right) the same for Leg-6, with temperature (°C) legends to the right.

**Figure 8** The 28 November- 19 December 2007 glider RU01 (upper) Leg-1 temperature section, with section-minimum temperatures indicated, and (lower) the same for Leg-6, with temperature (°C) legends to the right.

---

**Figure 9a** A composite of glider trajectories in the northeastern MAB (RU17) and the southwestern MAB (RU01), respectively during summer 2007 (May-June and July-August). The section-minimum temperatures $T_{\text{min}}$ (°) and dates are located. Note the repeat Leg-6 surveys.

**Figure 9b** A composite of glider trajectories in the northeastern MAB (RU05) and the southwestern MAB (RU06), respectively during autumn 2007 (Sep.-Oct and October). The section-minimum temperatures $T_{\text{min}}$ (°) and dates are located. Note the repeat Leg-6 surveys.
The set of T\textsubscript{mins} is an excellent proxy for MAB water temperatures that eventually become Cold Pool waters. Between March and April 2007, the T\textsubscript{mins} of Leg-1 and Leg-6 – two of more-complete cross-shelf transects - warmed about 2\degree C (see Figure 10; Table 1). That was followed by a dramatic ~1.5\degree C cooling of the Leg-6 waters and a lesser cooling along the other transects during May. Our observations are consistent with Lentz (2017), who attributes the springtime cooling of parts of the MAB to the southwestward advection of a colder patch of the Cold Pool from the region of Hudson Canyon. Near the end of May 2007, the Cold Pool defined for the year was throughout the whole MAB.

The lowered Cold Pool temperatures persisted in the northeastern MAB into early July. Then the MAB-wide Cold Pool Waters (CPW) began to warm at a rate of about 1\degree C/month. (Estimates of the warming rates in Table A1 in Appendix A highlight the contrast between the Spring (Apr-May) cooling and Summer-NE (May-October) warming in the northeastern segment of the MAB Cold Pool). The summer warming of the MAB Cold Pool (see Figure 10 & Table 2) is due to several processes including turbulent transport of heat from the (a) surface layer above, (b) offshore waters beyond the shelf-break front (SBF), and (c) landward boundary via upwelling/downwelling exchange. The question of which of these processes dominate during the different phases of the Cold Pool evolution is addressed in the Brown and Arena (2019) part-2 of this paper.

The 2007 time-space variable TS water properties of the Cold Pool are defined between 3\degree C and 12\degree C in terms of a suite of T\textsubscript{min}-S\textsubscript{min} curves (see Figure 11) for several of the main transects. A fit to the observations represents the average 2007 T\textsubscript{min}-S\textsubscript{min} relation (bold dashed black line Figure 11). This T\textsubscript{min}-S\textsubscript{min} relation shows that warmer Cold Pool core waters are more saline. The trend toward higher temperatures during the summer is due to differing combinations of the several ocean processes mentioned above. However, the trend of the T\textsubscript{min}-S\textsubscript{min} relation toward higher salinities is only consistent with significant exchange across the SBF.
between the Cold Pool and Slope Sea waters. We have chosen to define the average 2007 Cold Pool waters in terms of ±1 standard deviation of the variability of the salinity departures from $S_{T_{\text{min}}}$ as described next.

The sub-thermocline water properties are strongly influenced by Cold Pool core water properties ($T_{\text{min}}/S_{T_{\text{min}}}$) through mixing. Thus, for each parcel of sub-thermocline water (i.e., with temperature $< 12^\circ C$), we computed the departure of parcel temperature from $T_{\text{min}} = \Delta T_D$; and the departure of parcel salinity from $S_{T_{\text{min}}} = \Delta S_D$. The basic statistics of these $T_D/S_D$ quantities are summarized in Table 1 and discussed further in Appendix A. The trapezoid width is a constant ± $S_D$ standard deviation (1σ ~ 0.24 psu; see Table 1) of all sub-12°C waters. The 2007 summer (blue dashed) and autumn (red dashed) CPW temperature bounds (average $T_{\text{min}} \pm T_D$) sub-12°C waters are shown. In contrast to the salinities, the $T_D$ statistics are seasonal - reflecting the warming noted before. Thus, we use a sliding average $T_{\text{min}} \pm T_D$ 1σ window to define the Cold Pool Water (CPW) mass in the region of a specific cross-shelf section with a specified $T_{\text{min}}$.

The T-S relations of Leg-6 profiles from summer (July) and autumn 2007(October) in Figure 12 show the seasonality in the Cold Pool water masses that is demonstrated above. The $T_{\text{min}}$ profile have the Cold Pool water defined above in them. Interestingly, the most landward (red) profile of the Leg-6 transect in both seasons have T-S relations that indicate that they are mixing products

---

**Table 2.** The 2007 Cold Pool Water warming rates (WR in °C/30.25day-month) and salting rates (SR in psu/month) for different Parts of the MAB are given for Summer–I (May-Oct) and Summer–II (July-October), (see Appendix A for further discussion).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007 Survey Month</th>
<th>Leg</th>
<th>Warming Rates ($\circ$C/mo)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{min}}$ ($\circ$C)</th>
<th>Salting Rates (psu/mo)</th>
<th>$S_{T_{\text{min}}}$ (psu)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>4.780</td>
<td>32.739</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>7.711</td>
<td>33.006</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>Sep 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg-1 Sum–I WR</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>Leg-1 Sum–I SR</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>5.319</td>
<td>33.006</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>8.478</td>
<td>32.967</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>Oct 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg-6 Sum–I WR</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>Leg-6 Sum–I SR</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE. Sum–I WR</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>AVE. Sum–I SR</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUL</td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>5.603</td>
<td>32.961</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>July 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>Leg-6</td>
<td>8.588</td>
<td>33.057</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>Oct 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg-6 Sum–II WR</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>Leg-6 Sum–II SR</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUL</td>
<td>Leg-8</td>
<td>6.331</td>
<td>33.028</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>Aug 03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>Leg-8</td>
<td>9.902</td>
<td>33.201</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>Oct 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg-8 Sum–II WR</td>
<td>1.301</td>
<td>Leg-8 Sum–II SR</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE. Sum–II WR</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>AVE. Sum–II SR</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR AVE.</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>SR AVE.</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
involving pure Cold Pool water. We explore the structure of the Cold Pool water mass further in the next section.

**Figure 12 (left)** Leg-6 July 2007 *summer* profiles of *(top)* temperature, *(middle)* salinity and *(bottom)* T-S relationship. Each panel features the $T_{min}$ profile (solid) and most landward (dashed) profile of the section. *(right)* Same for Leg-6 October 2007 *autumn* profiles. The respective *summer* and *autumn* 2007 Cold Pool Water (CPW) mass definitions (solid trapezoids) are embedded in the 2007 CPW definition (dashed trapezoid).

Glider measurements were used to estimate the oceanographic extent of the MAB Cold Pool for summer 2007, autumn 2007 and September 2013. A common approach is to define the Cold Pool by a specific isothermal surface most frequently the 10°C surface – as we have done here. (See Appendix A for an alternative approach). Once the Cold Pool is distinguishable throughout the MAB (usually in May), the thermocline contains the 10°C isothermal surface (or the “ceiling” of the Cold Pool). The task here was to define inshore and offshore Cold Pool boundaries of the intersection using glider measurements. The shoreward edge of the Cold Pool is defined by the 10°C isotherm/bottom intersections. But sometimes gliders just did not go far enough seaward to pierce the shelf-break front, SBF, which surely contains the 10°C isotherm (Linder et al., 2004). In this case, we set the seaward boundary of the Cold Pool at the 100m isobath - the nominal location of the SBF. This approach enabled us to estimate the extent of the footprint of the Cold Pool on the seafloor for different seasons and years as described next.

**Summer 2007 10°C Cold Pool:** The summer (mid-May through mid-August) 2007 sub-10°C Cold Pool Water (CPW) footprint was constructed from a composite of (a) glider RU17 measurements in the northeastern MAB and (b) glider RU01 in the southwestern MAB. With reference to Figure 13, the 10°C isotherm in the northeastern MAB during May-June appears to have intersected bottom just slightly inshore of the beginnings of the Leg-1 and Leg-6 glider measurements; and some inshore distance for all the other legs of the glider trajectory. In the southwestern MAB, the 10°C isotherm intersects the bottom on all legs (see Figure 14). The Leg-6 T_minS of the two surveys, despite being more a month apart, differed by only 0.28°C (see Figure 9). The summer 2007 10°C CPW footprint covers most of the northeast MAB and about half of the southwest MAB. The fact that the CPW mass narrows significantly is evidence that the CPW leaves the shelf offshore of the mouth of Chesapeake Bay. Assuming an upper layer average depth of 30m, the summer 2007 10°C CPW footprint in Figure 15 has an estimated volume of 4099 km³; and represents is a reasonable 10°C Cold Pool extent for this season.

**Autumn 2007 10°C Cold Pool:** The autumn 2007 sub-10°C CPW footprint (Figure 16) was constructed from glider RU05 and RU06 surveys (see Brown et al., 2015). Gliders RU05 and RU06 occupied the New Jersey transect (Leg-6) within a week of each other and found very similar T_minS and 10°C isotherm/bottom intersection locations. The autumn 2007 CPW footprint is the same general shape as is its summer counterpart, with its apparent off-shelf escape route offshore of the Maryland coast. The autumn 2007 10°C CPW is about 3°C warmer and 0.1 psu saltier than the summer 2007 CPW. However, the autumn 2007 10°C CPW footprint has only 58% of the summer 2007 volume. Given the significant salting of the T_min-waters during the summer, lead us to conclude that a significant amount of the CPWs are lost through lateral exchange across the SBF as it moves southwestward. This could explain the narrowing of the Cold Pool footprint in the southwestern MAB.
Figure 13 The May-June 2007 glider RU17 temperature sections in the northeastern MAB. (Top-to-bottom panels) Legs-1, -2, -4, -5 and -6, respectively. The orange-red boundary is the 10°C isotherm.

Figure 14 The Jul.-Aug. 2007 glider RU01 temperature sections in the southwestern MAB. (Top-to-bottom panels) Legs-6 through -10, respectively. The orange-red boundary is the 10°C isotherm.

Figure 15 The summer 2007, sub-10°C Cold Pool Water (CPW) footprint (pink) is defined by glider RU17/RU01 survey measurements. The section-minimum temperatures (blue o) - defining the Cold Pool core - are located. Estimated Cold Pool Volume => 4099 km$^3$

Figure 16 The autumn 2007, sub-10°C CPW footprint (pink) is defined by glider RU05/RU06 survey measurements. The section-minimum temperatures (blue o) - defining the Cold Pool core - are located. Estimated Cold Pool Volume => 2391 km$^3$
The shape of the late May to early August or summer 2007 10°C Cold Pool Water (CPW) footprint is generally consistent with the Lentz (2017) monthly climatologies of the profile minimum temperatures (Figure 17). The distinct narrowing of this CPW footprint toward the shelf-break off Maryland is similar in both depictions. However, the seaward edge of the summer 2007 CPW appears to be colder than the Lentz (2017) climatologies.

![Figure 17](image)

**Figure 17** Comparison of the (panel D) late May to early August 2007 sub-10°C Cold Pool footprint derived from glider measurements with the Lentz (2017) monthly 1955-2014 climatologies of hydrographic profile minimum temperatures for (panel A) June, (panel B) July, (panel C) August. The climatological 10°C isotherm is in the green band.

The shape of the late September-October or autumn 2007 10°C Cold Pool Water (CPW) footprint is generally consistent with the Lentz (2017) September and October profile minimum temperature climatologies (Figure 18). In particular, the northeastern edge of the autumn 2007 10°C CPW footprint is similar to that in the Lentz (2017) October climatology.
Figure 18 Comparison of the (panel C) late September-October 2007 sub-10°C Cold Pool footprint derived from glider measurements with the Lentz (2017) monthly 1955-2014 climatologies of hydrographic profile minimum temperatures for (panel A) September and (panel B) October. The climatological 10°C isotherm is in the green band.
V. Cold Pool Water Mass Structure: September 2013

*September 2013 Cold Pool: 10°C:* In 2013, MARACOOS organized a 9-glider deployment consisting of operations all along the American coastal ocean between Nova Scotia and Florida - *GliderPalooza 2013.* Here we focus on a four-glider subset of missions in the MAB (see Figure 19). The gliders Blue, RU-23 and RU-22 missions were conducted on the mid to outer shelf almost at the same time in September and October. Glider RU-28 patrolled the inner shelf in water depths less than 30m along the NJ coast. The three outer shelf gliders also tested the hypothesis that triangular glider patterns were most effective for data-assimilation numerical ocean modeling of MAB dynamics and kinematics.

Glider Blue followed a near-equilateral triangular trajectory and sliced through the Cold Pool twice in the Southern New England Bight (SNEB; see Figure 19). Glider Blue penetrated the shelf-break front (SBF) twice during its Leg-2. Glider RU-23 followed a right triangular trajectory off New Jersey (NJ) and sliced through the Cold Pool and SBF twice – nearly at the same time as glider Blue. A week or so later, glider RU22 trajectory, followed a near rectangular trajectory off Maryland (MD) and sliced through the Cold Pool and SBF twice. We noted the fact that the RU23 off NJ (see transect Tmins Figure 19; Table B1 in Appendix B) measured clearly colder temperatures of than either the gliders to the north and south got our attention.

The 2013 Cold Pool was clearly warmer than the *autumn* 2007 Cold Pool, as evidenced by the lack of sub-10°C Cold Pool waters in the Southern New England Bight (SNEB) end of the MAB (see Figure 20). Still the glider Blue measurements showed a warmed Cold Pool structure (see Appendix B). The Cold Pool had been warmed (and salted) by a Gulf Stream water core ring, which impinged on the SNEB region during August-September 2013. The fact that

---

**Figure 19** The MAB trajectories of *gliders BLUE, RU23, RU22, and RU28.* The glider Blue triangle in the Southern New England Bight consists of Legs -1 (red), -2 (grn), and -3 (blk); as was the glider RU23 trajectory off NJ; as was the glider RU22 trajectory east of Maryland. The glider RU28 trajectory (blk) is the one just off the NJ coast. The Leg-Tmin/dates are indicated.

**Figure 20** The Sep 2013 sub-10°C MAB Cold Pool (pink) is defined by glider measurements: *glider Blue* in the northeast, *glider RU23* in mid-shelf and *glider RU22* in the southwest. The inshore and seaward edges of the Cold Pool is defined by 10°C isotherm/bottom intersections (black). The section-minimum temperature Tmin (blue o) are located. 

*Estimated Cold Pool Volume of 1138 km³.*
Gulf Stream water core rings are frequent visitors to the edge of the MAB - locally warming and salting Cold Pool properties - forced us to revise our definition of Cold Pool Water properties (see Figure 21). This, in turn, prompted us to explore the extent of a sub-12°C Cold Pool for the whole MAB.

Given the proximity of the Cold Pool core (i.e., $T_{\text{min}}$) to the SBF jet flow, much of the coldest waters of the Cold Pool must be advected from the northeast toward the southwest (Linder et al., 2004).

The geography of the September 2013, sub-12°C Cold Pool (see Figure 22) was derived from the glider measurements as described in Appendix B. The sub-12°C Cold Pool footprint is considerably more voluminous (4093 km$^3$) than the sub-10°C Cold Pool (1138 km$^3$).

The TS diagram of the glider Blue’s $T_{\text{min}}$ profiles in the SNEB region in Figure 23 intersects the Cold Pool water mass definition and the inshore water properties are seen to be a product of lateral mixing with Cold Pool waters. Figure 23 also suggests that the deepest Cold Pool waters mix with warm slope water (WSW), which has been strongly influenced by the Gulf Stream.

The TS diagram of the glider RU-23’s $T_{\text{min}}$ profiles off New Jersey region in Figure 24 intersects the Cold Pool water mass definition and the inshore water properties are seen to be a product of lateral mixing with Cold Pool waters. While the TS signature of NJ Cold Pool waters point toward possible mixtures with WSW, it is less clear as it is in the SNEB region.

**Figure 21** The “global” definition of the Cold Pool water mass (blk dashed) includes seasonal sub-definitions: Spring/Summer 2007, Autumn 2007 and Autumn 2013.

**Figure 22** The sub-12°C MAB Cold Pool (pink) for September 2013 is defined by the trio of glider measurements: glider Blue in the northeast, glider RU23 in mid-shelf and glider RU22 in the southwest. The section-minimum temperatures $T_{\text{min}}$ (blue o) are located.

Estimated Cold Pool Volume => 4093 km$^3$
VI. Summary of Results
A series of Slocum glider observation missions in 2007 and 2013 have been used to define the time-space variable Cold Pool between Cape Cod and Cape Hatteras. We have used the glider water property measurements – particularly temperature – to define an important, evolving habitat feature of the Mid-Atlantic Bight.

We document the details of the establishment of the Cold Pool – most robustly off New England and New Jersey in May 2007. We explore the time-space evolution of the Cold Pool with a series of single glider zig-zag missions with series of transects down the shelf northeast to southwest in 2007. The glider transect minimum temperatures $T_{\text{min}}$ define the core of the 2007 Cold Pool, which parallels the outer shelf near the Shelf-Break Front (SBF; Figure 9). These glider measurements show that the Cold Pool waters warm at the rate of about 1°C per month and salt at the rate of from July for the rest of the summer into October. They also showed We were able to define 2007 Cold Pool waters in TS space by
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**Figure 23** The September 2013 (top) temperature, (middle) salinity and (bottom) $T$-$S$ relations for the $T_{\text{min}}$ (solid) and most shoreward (dashed) profiles of for (left) SNEB Leg-1 and (right) NJ Leg-3. The “global” Cold Pool water mass is defined (dashed trapezoid). The Brown and Irish (1993) definitions of Maine Intermediate Water MIW, Maine Bottom Water MBW, and Warm Slope Water WSW and Cold Slope Water CSW.

![Figure 24](image)

**Figure 24** The 1955-2014 monthly climatological average of the hydrographic profile minimum temperatures ($T_{\text{cp}}$) in (A) September and (B) October are compared with the (C) sub-10°C Cold Pool and (D) sub-12°C Cold Pool footprints derived from gliders BLUE, RU23 and RU22 measurements in September and October 2013. In the Lentz (2017) climatology, the 10°C isotherm is in the green band and the 12°C isotherm is in the orange band.
combining the results from the 2007 glider surveys (without GS warm core rings) Then the Cold Pool loses its distinctiveness – a casualty of autumn storm mixing- and becomes homogenized in preparation for winter cooling.

We explored the inter-annual variability through a comparison of the September-October 2007 MAB 10°C Cold Pool Waters (CPW) with its 2013 counterpart measured by a nearly simultaneous trio of glider missions (Figure 19). The comparison clearly showed how the 2013 MAB waters in the northeastern sector were warmed and salted by the impingement of a Gulf Stream Warm Core Ring (GS-WCR).

Our summer 2007 sub-10°C Cold Pool footprint (using only glider measurements) decreases from almost full shelf width south of New England to a very narrow strip off Maryland (Figures 15 & 16). Assuming a thermocline depth of 30m throughout the region, we estimated the summer 2007 Cold Pool volume to be 4099 km³. This compared to an estimated volume of 2391 km³ autumn 2007 (see Figure 16). The latter compared to estimated volume of a sub-10°C Cold Pool of 1138 km³ in September 2013 (Figure 20). We attribute this volume loss to the 2013 warming effects of the impingement Gulf Stream warm core ring.

We defined TS properties of Cold Pool waters by combining the results from the 2007 glider surveys (without GS warm core rings) and 2013 surveys (with GS warm core ring; Figure 21). The 2007 series of glider measurements document the approximate 1°C per month Cold Pool warming and 0.06 psu per month Cold Pool salting during summer into the autumn. These results suggest that a quantitative water mass analysis – beyond the scope of this effort – could lead to cross-SBF mixing rates. In Part-2, Brown & Arena (2019) consider how the temperature budget can be used for estimates of cross-SBF mixing.
Appendix A: Temperature Departure (TD)

An alternate approach to defining the Cold Pool is to use the statistics of the departures of subthermocline water temperature from $T_{\text{min}}$ and salinity from $S_{\text{STmin}}$ in a transect located at a downshelf “s” (see Table A1).

We compute respective temperature departure (TD) according to

\[ T_D(x, z) = T_t(x, z) - T_{\text{min}}(s) \]

and salinity departures (SD) according to

\[ S_D(x, z) = S_t(x, z) - S_{\text{STmin}}(s) \]
where $T'/S'$ is any temperature/salinity pair in the transect with $T_{min}/S_{min}$, “$x$” is an inshore coordinate referenced to the location of the most offshore transect station, and “$z$” is upward. A TD/SD is computed for sub-thermocline water parcels with temperatures less than 12°C. The mean and standard deviations for all the TD/SDs of a selected subset of the transects are presented in Table 1 (main text). The TD standard deviations range from a minimum of 0.55°C for the October Leg-8 to a maximum of 2.18°C for the May Leg-1; with a May-October 2007 average of 1.33°C.
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**Figure A1.** The 2007 glider-derived temperature departure TD sections for (upper left) spring (May-June) RU17 Legs 1 and 6 in the northeastern MAB; (lower left) summer (July-Aug.) RU01 Legs 6 and 9 in the southwestern MAB; (upper right) early autumn (Sep-Oct) RU05 Legs 1 and 6 in the northeastern MAB; and (lower right) later autumn (Oct.) RU06 Legs 6 and 8 in the southwestern MAB. The colored-coded contours have been forced to saturate at the $T_D = 1.33^\circ C$ isopleth – the red-auburn boundary.
Cold Pool 2007 waters are defined as those waters with $T_D$ less than an annual average standard deviation or $1.33^\circ C$. Transect plots of contoured $T_D$s, with limits of $0^\circ C < T_D < 1.33^\circ C$ (see Figures A1-A & B) silhouette Cold Pool waters and enable us to determine the inshore boundaries of the spring/summer Cold Pool. For example, we see on the Leg-1 spring and summer sections (Figures A1-A & B) that the $1.33^\circ C$ $T_D$ contour intersects the seafloor near the 40m isobath, while on the Leg-6 section the intersection is closer to the 40m isobath. During autumn (Figures A1-C & D), the $1.33^\circ C$ $T_D$ contour bottom closer to the 40m isobath on all four legs of the 2-glider survey. We use these $1.33^\circ C$ $T_D$ contour/seafloor intersections to mark the inshore extent of the summer 2007 Cold Pool (by the $T_D$ definition) (bold red bars in Figure A2). The dashed red lines represent the approximate $T_D$-defined inshore extent of the summer 2007 Cold Pool. The extent of the 10$^\circ C$ isotherm-defined Cold Pool is also presented in Figure A2. In the northeastern MAB, there is a considerable difference in these Cold Pool definitions; in the southwestern MAB the difference is considerably less. Both results show that the Cold Pool near-bottom extent narrows considerably offshore of Maryland. This narrowing implies that Cold Pool waters are exiting the shelf in the southwestern sector of the MAB. We assume that the outer edge of the 2007 Cold Pool is approximated by the shelf-break at about the 100m isobath.

**Figure A2** A composite of two glider survey trajectories: (a) RU17 May-June 2007 in the northeastern MAB and (b) RU01 July-August 2007 in the southwestern MAB locates each section-minimum temperature $T_{\text{min}}$ (o) value/date. The inner edge of the Cold Pool is defined in terms of the $1.33^\circ C$ $T_D$ isopleth/seafloor intersection (red) or the $10^\circ C$ isotherm (blue). For the 2007, glider observations, the seaward edge is approximated by the shelf-break isobath (~100m) – the average location of the Shelf-Break Front.

**Figure A3** A composite of two glider survey trajectories: (a) RU05 Sep.-Oct. 2007 in the northeastern MAB and (b) RU06 Oct. 2007 in the southwestern MAB locates each section-minimum temperature $T_{\text{min}}$ (o) value/date. The inner edge of the Cold Pool is defined in terms of the intersection of the $1.33^\circ C$ $T_D$ isopleth (red) or the $10^\circ C$ isotherm (blue) with the seafloor. The seaward edge is approximated by the shelf-break isobath (~100m) – the average location of the Shelf Break Front.

**Autumn 2007 Cold Pool: $T_D$**

The September - October 2007 glider $T_D$ transects (see Brown et al., 2015) enable us to construct a map of the autumn 2007 CPW (Figure A3) that is distinctly warmer and thus narrower than the summer 2007 Cold Pool. Also, in contrast to summer 2007, the $1.33^\circ C$ $T_D$ and the $10^\circ C$
isotherm coincide. The inshore extent of this warmer autumn 2007 CPW is like the Spring/Summer 2007 CPW in the northeastern sector of the MAB. However, while we have fewer transects than for spring/summer 2007, the CPW inshore boundary in the southwestern sector of the MAB is further offshore; and may even indicate an offshelf exit pathway.

Appendix B: Geography of the 12°C Cold Pool in September 2013
At the inshore end of glider Blue’s Leg-1 temperature section (see Figure B1), the 12°C isotherm intersects with the bottom near the 40m isobath. At the inshore end of glider Blue’s Leg-3 section, the 12°C isotherm intersects with the bottom near the 45m isobath. At the Leg-3 end of glider Blue’s Leg-2 section, the 12°C isotherm also intersects the bottom at 85m. At the Leg-1 end of glider Blue’s Leg-2 section, the 12°C isotherm extends 20-30 km beyond the shelf break in the westward direction.

At the inshore and seaward ends of glider RU-23’s Leg-1 temperature section (see Figure B2), the 12°C isotherm intersects with the bottom near the 25m and 82m isobaths, respectively. The same is true for the 12°C isotherm/bottom intersections on RU-23’s Leg-3 section.

The inshore 12°C isotherm/bottom intersections for both of glider RU-22’s Legs-1 and -3 temperature sections (see Figure B3), occur near the 35m isobath. The footprint of the sub-12°C Cold Pool is presented in Figure 22 (main text).
September-October 2013 Cold Pool: TD
The $T_D/S_D$ quantities have been computed for gliders Blue, RU-23 and RU-22 data gathered in the context of GliderPalooza 2013 (see main text). The transect $T_{min}/S_{min}$ have been processed as described above and are presented in Table B1.
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**Figure B3** (upper) glider RU22's Leg-1 temperature ($^\circ$C) section. (middle) The same for Leg2 & (lower) The same for Leg3. The 12$^\circ$C isotherm is at the red/auburn interface. The sub-12$^\circ$C average temperature (larger font) is indicated and the Leg-minimum temperature is located.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glider ID</th>
<th>Sec. ID</th>
<th>Dates Sep 2013</th>
<th>Lower Ave. T ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Upper Ave. T ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$T_{min}$ ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$T_D$ Mean ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$T_D$ Std Dev ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$S_{Tmin}$ (psu)</th>
<th>$S_D$ Mean (psu)</th>
<th>$S_D$ Std Dev (psu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>06-13</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>10.317</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>33.332</td>
<td>-0.112</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-3</td>
<td>19-26</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>10.412</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>33.449</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>10.365</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>33.391</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-23</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td>9.485</td>
<td>1.054</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td>33.483</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-3</td>
<td>20-27</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>9.789</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>33.465</td>
<td>-0.250</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>19.01</td>
<td>9.637</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>33.474</td>
<td>-0.233</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-22</td>
<td>Leg-1</td>
<td>12-26</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>9.839</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>33.345</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leg-3</td>
<td>S30-O16</td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>19.08</td>
<td>10.124</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>33.427</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ave.</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>9.982</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>33.386</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Globe</td>
<td>AVE.</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>9.995</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>33.417</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td>Leg-2</td>
<td>13-19</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>16.31</td>
<td>10.231</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>33.381</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-23</td>
<td>Leg-2</td>
<td>15-20</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>9.470</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>33.433</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-22</td>
<td>Leg-2</td>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>17.52</td>
<td>9.848</td>
<td>0.868</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>33.361</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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